IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 15006 of 1994

Between:

A.Mahender , S/o. A.Booma Lingam,
R/o. Plot No.98,Teachers colony, Gunrock (V),
Trimal Gherry, Secunderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Contonment ExecutiveOfficer, Secunderabad,
2 The Dist Employment Officer(Technical),
Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, order or direction, preferably one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, to call for the connected records, and to declare the action of the respondents in not considering the claim of the Petitioner is contrary to the policy of the Rule of Reservation apart from violating Art.14&21 of Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to uphold the claim of the petitioner.

Counsel for the Petitioner : MR.S.TULASI DAS (not present)

Counsel for the Respondents : GP FOR EMPLOYMENT & TRAINING

The Court Made the Following :

ORAL ORDER :

Though the matter is listed under the caption 'For Dismissal, there is no representation for the petitioner. Obviously, the petitioner is not interested in prosecuting the matter.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No costs.

24th June, 2004.
gbs

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

TO

1 The Contonment ExecutiveOfficer, Secunderabad,
2 The Dist Employment Officer(Technical),
Chikkadpally, Hyderabad.

3.2CCs to G.P. for Employment & Training, High Court Bldgs, Hyderabad.(OUT)
4.2CD copies